The Effectiveness of Training for Displaced Workers with Long Prior Job Tenure*

Stephen RG Jones McMaster University

January 2012

Abstract

Workers displaced from long-tenure jobs often have difficulty finding new employment and can take a substantial drop in earnings when they find reemployment. These losses are large and persistent, and can easily dwarf the transitory losses from the initial period of nonemployment. Policy response for these long-term problems has centred on education, training and skill development. This paper surveys and assesses a variety of strategies that have been employed to determine training effectiveness, using results from field experiments and from econometric work based on non-experimental data.

Findings from this large research enterprise are not encouraging. Both experimental and non-experimental research shows that the returns to training for displaced workers are low, almost surely less than the (well-estimated) returns to formal schooling which lie in the 6-9% range. On a cost-benefit basis, the body of evidence does not show that training pays off for most of the displaced population.

Alternative means to compensate the losers from economic adjustment might include modified or expanded EI coverage, without any necessary link to training expenditures, and perhaps consideration of alternative policies, such as Wage Insurance. Since evidence on training programs for displaced workers gives only limited promise, it is important to search for other creative ways to ensure that the costs of economic restructuring do not fall disproportionately on a narrow group.

Keywords: labour market adjustment, training, displaced workers

JEL codes: J60, J63, J63, J65, J68

^{*} Paper prepared for the Mowat Centre Employment Insurance Task Force http://www.mowateitaskforce.ca. I thank Arthur Sweetman, Keith Banting, participants in the Mowat El workshop, and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments.